

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

	I		I		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/834,785	04/13/2001	Edward A. Hubbard	NING0008	8392	
	7590 09/21/2010 Morasch & Colby, ps	EXAMINER			
601 W. Main A Suite 1300		DALENCOURT, YVES			
Spokane, WA	99201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2457		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/21/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptocorrespondence@sbmc-law.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/834,785	HUBBARD, EDWARD A.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
YVES DALENCOURT	2457	

	YVES DALENCOURT	2457						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 23 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
I. \(\text{\text{\text{\$\}\$}\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{								
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to the statutory period for reply expires and the statutory period for reply expire to the statutory period for r	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL	See	man company						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a)</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b)</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>								
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	auding or simplifying ti	ne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).					
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).								
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>		l be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>29-65</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
	MATERIAL ENGLIST							
	/YVES DALENCOURT, Primary Examiner, Art U							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner respectully disagrees with Applicant's assertion that the claimed subject matter of this instant application has a priority dateprior to the effective priority date of the subject matter relied on in Hartsell. Hartsell claim priority of Continuation-in-part of US application 09/797.200, which claims priority of provisional application 60/187.211. Applicant is kindly suggested to look at paragraphs [0142 - 0143], [0158 = 0159], [0165 - 0166], [0172], [0179], [0181], [0185], and [0195] of CIP 09/797.200 which correspond to the claimed subject matter of Hartsell (US 2002/0065864). Therefore, based on the priority date of the provisional application (US 60/187,211), which is 03/03/2000, the rejection is proper and sustained by the Examiner.